

ON BESTVINA-MESS FORMULA

A.N. DRANISHNIKOV

ABSTRACT.

Bestvina and Mess [BM] proved a remarkable formula for torsion free hyperbolic groups

$$\dim_L \partial\Gamma = cd_L \Gamma - 1$$

connecting the cohomological dimension of a group Γ with the cohomological dimension of its boundary $\partial\Gamma$. In [Be] Bestvina introduced a notion of $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure on a discrete group and noticed that his formula holds true for all torsion free groups with $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure. Bestvina's notion of $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure can be extended to groups containing torsion by replacing the covering space action in the definition by the geometric action. Though the Bestvina-Mess formula trivially is not valid for groups with torsion, we show that it still holds in the following modified form: *The cohomological dimension of a $\mathcal{Z}$ -boundary of a group Γ equals its global cohomological dimension for every PID L as the coefficient group*

$$\dim_L \partial\Gamma = gcd_L(\partial\Gamma).$$

Using this formula we show that the cohomological dimension of the boundary $\dim_L \partial\Gamma$ is a quasi-isometry invariant of a group.

For CAT(0) groups and $L = \mathbf{Z}$ these results were obtained in [GO].

§1 INTRODUCTION

A closed subset Z of an AR -space $\bar{X}$ is called a Z -set if there is a homotopy $H : \bar{X} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that H_0 is the identity and $H_t(\bar{X}) \subset \bar{X} \setminus Z$ for all $t > 0$. An equivalent statement is that Z is a Z -set if for every open set $U \subset \bar{X}$ the inclusion $U \setminus Z \subset U$ is a homotopy equivalence.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F55, 20J06, 55M10, 55N05.

Key words and phrases. cohomological dimension, Z -set, $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure, Higson corona..

The author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0305152

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

The following generalizes Bestvina's definition from [Be].

DEFINITION. A discrete group Γ has $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure if there is a pair $(\bar{X}, Z)$ of compact spaces satisfying the axioms:

- (1) $\bar{X}$ is AR;
- (2) Z is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -set in $\bar{X}$;
- (3) $X = \bar{X} \setminus Z$ is a metric space that admits a proper discontinuous action of Γ by isometries with a compact quotient;
- (4) The compactification $\bar{X}$ of X is Higson dominated.

Originally Bestvina required in (3) a covering space action which automatically makes Γ torsion free. Note that for torsion free groups this definition of $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure coincides with the original one.

The *Higson compactification* $\bar{X}_H$ [Roe] of a metric space X is defined by means of the ring $C_H(X)$ of bounded continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with variation tending to zero at infinity. Namely, given $R < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\text{diam}(f(B_R(x))) < \epsilon$ for $x \in X \setminus K$ where $B_R(x)$ denotes the R -ball centered at x . A compactification $\bar{X}$ of X is called *Higson dominated* if the identity map id_X extends to a continuous map $\alpha : \bar{X}_H \rightarrow \bar{X}$.

For a group Γ with $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure $(\bar{X}, Z)$ we will denote the set Z as $\partial\Gamma$ and call it a *boundary of the group* Γ .

For a set $A \subset X$ the trace A' of A on the boundary Z is the intersection with Z of the closure of A in $\bar{X}$,

$$A' = \text{Cl}_{\bar{X}} A \cap Z.$$

The Axiom 4 can be restated as follows:

(4) *For every set $A \subset X$ and every $r > 0$ the trace of A in Z coincides with the trace of the r -neighborhood $N_r(A)$:*

$$A' = (N_r(A))'.$$

We note that the condition (4) is equivalent to the condition that the action of Γ is *small at infinity* [DF] i.e., for every $x \in Z$ and a neighborhood U of x in $\bar{X}$, for every compact set $C \subset X$ there is a smaller neighborhood V , $x \in V \subset U$, such that $g(C) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ implies $g(C) \subset U$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. So we can reformulate Axiom 4 once more:

(4) *For every $x \in X$ and every $r > 0$ the sequence $\{B_r(\gamma(x)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a 0-sequence in $\bar{X}$.*

The Bestvina-Mess formula proven first for torsion free hyperbolic groups [BM] as it noted in [Be] is valid for all torsion free groups with $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure. It states

$$\dim_L Z = cd_L \Gamma - 1$$

where L is a PID, $\dim_L Z$ is the cohomological dimension of a space Z with coefficients in L , and $cd_L \Gamma$ is the cohomological dimension of a group Γ with coefficient in L . For a torsion free group Γ with a $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure $(\bar{X}, Z)$ we have [Be]

$$cd_L \Gamma = \max\{n \mid H_c^n(X; L) \neq 0\}.$$

We recall that a *global cohomological dimension* of a space X with coefficients in an abelian group G is the following number

$$gcd_G(X) = \max\{n \mid H^n(X; G) \neq 0\}.$$

Since $\bar{X}$ is contractible, the exact sequence of the pair $(\bar{X}, Z)$ implies that

$$cd_L \Gamma - 1 = gcd_L(Z).$$

Thus, the Bestvina-Mess formula is equivalent to the equality

$$\dim_L Z = gcd_L(Z).$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove this equality for general groups Γ with $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure. We do it by an adjustment of Bestvina-Mess' argument. In the case when Γ is a CAT(0) group and $L = \mathbf{Z}$ this formula was established by Geoghegan and Ontaneda [GO] by a different method.

§2 GLOBAL AND LOCAL COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF A $\mathcal{Z}$ -BOUNDARY

The main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $(\bar{X}, Z)$ be a $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure on a group Γ . Then for every principle ideal domain R the cohomological dimension of Z coincides with its global cohomological dimension:*

$$\dim_R Z = gcd_R(Z).$$

We recall that the *cohomological dimension* with respect to the coefficient group G of a locally compact metric space Z is the following number

$$\dim_G Z = \max\{n \mid H_c^n(U; G) \neq 0, U \subset_{Open} Z\}$$

where H_c^* denotes the cohomology with compact supports.

Let $\dim_G X = r$. We say that a point $x \in X$ is *dimensionally essential* (with respect to G) if there is a neighborhood U of x such that for every smaller neighborhood V of x the image of the inclusion homomorphism $i_{V,U} : H_c^r(V; G) \rightarrow H_c^r(U; G)$ is nonzero. Alexandroff called such points as containing an r -dimensional obstruction. We refer to [Dr] for more details on cohomological dimension as well for the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. (*P.S. Alexandroff*) *Let X be a compact metric space with $\dim_G X = r$. Then the set of dimensionally essential points in X contains a locally compact subset Y of $\dim_G Y = r$.*

Proof. Let W be an open subset of X with $H_c^r(W; G) \neq 0$. Because of the continuity of cohomology there is a closed in W set Y minimal with respect the property: The inclusion homomorphism $H_c^r(W; G) \rightarrow H_c^r(Y; G)$ is nonzero. Then, clearly, $\dim_G Y = r$. For every $x \in Y$ we take $U = W$. Let $V \subset U$ be a neighborhood of x . Consider the diagram generated by exact sequences of pairs $(U, U \setminus V)$ and $(Y, Y \setminus V)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_c^r(V; G) & \xrightarrow{i_{V,U}} & H_c^r(U; G) & & \\ j_{V, V \cap Y} \downarrow & & j_{U, Y} \downarrow & & \\ H_c^r(V \cap Y; G) & \xrightarrow{i_{V \cap Y, Y}} & H_c^r(Y; G) & \xrightarrow{j_{Y, Y \setminus V}} & H_c^r(Y \setminus V; G) \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha \in H_c^r(U; G)$ such that $j_{U, Y}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Since Y is minimal, $j_{Y, Y \setminus V}(j_{U, Y}(\alpha)) = 0$. The exactness of the bottom row implies that there is $\beta \in H_c^r(Y \cap V; G)$ such that $i_{Y \cap V, Y}(\beta) = j_{U, Y}(\alpha)$. Since $\dim_G V \leq r$, the homomorphism $j_{V, Y \cap V}$ is an epimorphism and hence there is $\gamma \in H_c^r(V; G)$ with $j_{V, Y \cap V}(\gamma) = \beta$. Therefore $j_{U, Y} i_{V, U}(\gamma) \neq 0$ and hence $i_{V, U}(\gamma) \neq 0$. $\square$

The following lemma is contained essentially in [BM] (Proposition 2.6).

Lemma 2. *Suppose that Z is a Z -set in an AR space $\bar{X}$ and $\dim_R Z = n$ where R is an abelian group. Then for every dimensionally essential point $z \in Z$ and for every neighborhood $W \subset \bar{X}$ there is an open neighborhood $\bar{U} \subset \bar{X}$, $z \in \bar{U} \subset W$, such that the coboundary homomorphism for the pair $(\bar{U}, \bar{U} \cap Z)$*

$$H_c^n(V; R) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U; R)$$

is nonzero monomorphism where $V = \bar{U} \cap Z$ and $U = \bar{U} \setminus V$.

Proof. Given W we take open in Z set V , $Cl(V) \subset W$, with $H_c^n(V; R) \neq 0$. Let $A = Z \setminus V$. In view of the Z -set condition there is a homotopy $F : \bar{X} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that

- (1) F_0 is the identity;
- (2) $F_t|_A$ is the inclusion $A \subset Z$;
- (3) $F_t(\bar{X} \setminus A) \subset \bar{X} \setminus Z$ for all $t > 0$;
- (4) $\bar{X} \setminus W \subset F_1(\bar{X})$.

Let $Y_1 = F_1(\bar{X})$ and $Y_2 = F(Y_1 \times [0, 1])$. Then $Y_1 \subset Y_2$, $Y_1 \cap Z = Y_2 \cap Z = A$. Since the inclusion $(\bar{X}, Y_1) \rightarrow (\bar{X}, Y_2)$ can be homotoped to the map to (Y_2, Y_2) , the homomorphism $H^n(\bar{X}, Y_2; R) \rightarrow H^n(\bar{X}, Y_1; R)$ is zero. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(\bar{X}, Y_2; R) & \longrightarrow & H^n(Z \cup Y_2, Y_2; R) & \xrightarrow{j} & H^{n+1}(\bar{X}, Z \cup Y_2; R) \\ 0 \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^n(\bar{X}, Y_1; R) & \longrightarrow & H^n(Z \cup Y_1, Y_1; R) & \longrightarrow & H^{n+1}(\bar{X}, Z \cup Y_1; R) \end{array}$$

The diagram chasing shows that j is a monomorphism. We define $\bar{U} = \bar{X} \setminus Y_2$. Then $U = \bar{U} \setminus Z$, $V = \bar{U} \cap Z$, $H^n(Z \cup Y_2, Y_2; R) = H_c^n(V; R)$, $H^{n+1}(\bar{X}, Z \cup Y_2) = H_c^{n+1}(U; R)$, and j is the coboundary homomorphism from the exact sequence of the pair $(\bar{U}, V)$. Since j is a monomorphism and $H_c^n(V; R) \neq 0$, it is nonzero. $\square$

We recall that a metric space X is *uniformly contractible* if there is a function $\rho : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ with the property that every ball $B_r(x)$ of radius r centered at x is contractible inside the ball $B_{\rho(r)}(x)$. A metric space X has *bounded geometry* if there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $R < \infty$ the ϵ -capacity of R -balls $B_R(x)$ is uniformly bounded from above. The following is obvious.

Proposition 1. *Let Γ act properly discontinuously and cocompactly by isometries on a proper metric AR-space X then X is uniformly contractible with bounded geometry.*

Corollary 1. *Let X as in Proposition 1, then X admits a uniformly bounded open cover $\mathcal{U}$ with finite dimensional nerve $K = N(\mathcal{U})$ and a left proper homotopy inverse $s : K \rightarrow H$ to the projection to the nerve $\psi : X \rightarrow K$ with bounded homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$ joining $s \circ \psi$ with the identity id_X .*

Proof. This is a standard fact of a coarse geometry and it can be found in [HR].

We denote by $\dot{B}_r(x)$ an open ball around x of radius r .

DEFINITION.[GO] Let X be a locally compact metric space. The group $H_c^i(X; G)$ is *uniformly trivial* if there is a function $\nu : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that the inclusion homomorphism

$$H_c^i(\dot{B}_r(x); G) \rightarrow H_c^i(\dot{B}_{\nu(r)}(x); G)$$

is trivial for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Proposition 2. *Let Γ act properly discontinuously and cocompactly by isometries on a proper metric AR-space X and let $H_c^i(X; R) = 0$ for a PID R . Then $H_c^i(X; R) = 0$ is uniformly trivial.*

Proof. In view of cocompactness of the action, the orbit Γx_0 is an r_0 -dense in X . Hence it suffices to prove that for every r there is $\nu > 0$ such that the homomorphism

$$H_c^i(\dot{B}_r(x_0); R) \rightarrow H_c^i(\dot{B}_\nu(x_0); R)$$

is trivial. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow K$ and $s : K \rightarrow X$ be from Corollary 1 and let d be an upper bound on a homotopy between $s \circ \psi$ and id_X . For a subset $A \subset K$ we denote by $st(A)$ the union of all simplices that have nonempty intersection with A . Let $L = st(\psi(X \setminus B_{r+2d}(x_0)))$. Then the inclusion $X \setminus \dot{B}_{r+2d}(x_0) \rightarrow X \setminus \dot{B}_r(x_0)$ is homotopy factored through the maps

$$X \setminus \dot{B}_{r+2d}(x_0) \xrightarrow{\psi} L \xrightarrow{s} X \setminus \dot{B}_r(x_0).$$

Therefore the inclusion homomorphism $H_c^i(\dot{B}_r(x_0); R) \rightarrow H_c^i(\dot{B}_{r+2d}(x_0); R)$ is factored through the group $H^i(K, L; R)$ which is a finitely generated R -module. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in H^i(K, L; R)$ be a set of generators. For every a_j there is ν_j such that the element $\psi^*(a_j)$ vanishes in $H_c^i(B_{\nu_j}(x_0); R)$. We take $\nu = \max\{\nu_j\}$. $\square$

The following Lemma is an extension of a claim that appeared in the proof of Corollary 1.4.(a) [BM].

Lemma 3. *Let Γ act properly discontinuously and cocompactly by isometries on a proper metric AR -space X and let R be a PID. Suppose that $H_c^i(X; R) = 0$ for all $i > n$. Then there is a number r such that for every open set $U \subset X$ the inclusion $U \subset N_r(U)$ into the open r -neighborhood induces the zero homomorphism $H_c^i(U; R) \rightarrow H_c^i(N_r(U); R)$ for all $i > n$.*

Proof. We consider a sequence of locally finite uniformly bounded open coverings of X , $\mathcal{U}_0 \succ \mathcal{U}_1 \succ \mathcal{U}_2 \succ \mathcal{U}_3 \dots$ where $\mathcal{U}_{k+1}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}_k$, with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} mesh(\mathcal{U}_k) = 0$, and $\mathcal{U}_0$ as in Corollary 1. Let $\psi_j : X \rightarrow K_j$ denote a projection to the nerve of $\mathcal{U}_j$. Let $s : K_0 \rightarrow X$ be a proper homotopy lift and let $d > 0$ be the size of a homotopy between $s \circ \psi_0$ and id_X .

Let $U \subset X$ be an open set and let $L = st(\psi_0(X \setminus N_{2d}(U)))$. Then the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \setminus N_{2d}(U) & \longrightarrow & X \setminus \psi_0^{-1}(L) & \longrightarrow & X \setminus U \\ \psi_0 \downarrow & & \psi_0 \downarrow & & s \uparrow \\ L & \xrightarrow{=} & L & \xrightarrow{=} & L \end{array}$$

defines the commutative diagram for cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_c^i(N_{2d}(U); R) & \longleftarrow & H_c^i(\psi_0^{-1}(K_0 \setminus L); R) & \longleftarrow & H_c^i(U; R) \\
& & \psi_0^* \uparrow & & s^* \downarrow \\
& & H_c^i(K_0 \setminus L; R) & \xleftarrow{=} & H_c^i(K_0 \setminus L; R).
\end{array}$$

Therefore it suffices to prove Lemma for open sets U of the type $\psi_0^{-1}(K_0 \setminus L)$ where L is a subcomplex in K_0 , and for cohomology classes that came from $H_c^i(K_0 \setminus L; R) = H^i(K_0, L; R)$.

The sequence of covers $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}$ defines an inverse sequence of simplicial complexes

$$K_0 \xleftarrow{\psi_0^1} K_1 \xleftarrow{\psi_1^2} K_2 \xleftarrow{\psi_2^3} \dots$$

with the limit space X and with $\psi_j = \psi_j^{j+1} \circ \psi_{j+1}$ for all j . We may assume that every bonding map ψ_j^{j+1} is simplicial with respect to an iterated barycentric subdivision of the target K_j . If $A = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{L_j, \psi_j^{j+1} | \dots\} \subset_{Cl} X$, then

$$H_c^*(X \setminus A; R) = H^*(X, A; R) = \varinjlim H^*(K_j, L_j; R).$$

By C_j^i we denote the group of simplicial R -cochains on K_j with compact supports. If $L \subset K_j$ is a subcomplex, by $C_j^i(K_j, L)$ we denote the subgroup of cochains which are zero on simplices from L . For an i -simplex $\sigma \subset K_j$ we denote by ϕ_σ the cochain that takes σ to $1 \in R$ and which is zero on all other simplices. Then the cochains ϕ_σ form a basis of C_j^i . Simplicial approximations $p_j^{j+1} : K_{j+1} \rightarrow K_j$ of the bonding maps ψ_j^{j+1} induce homomorphisms $(p_j^{j+1})^* : C_j^* \rightarrow C_{j+1}^*$. Let $A = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{L_j, \psi_j^{j+1} | \dots\}$ be the limit of inverse sequence of subcomplexes $L_j \subset K_j$. By the construction of a simplicial approximation $p_j^{j+1}(L_{j+1}) \subset L_j$. The induced homomorphism on cohomology level

$$(p_j^{j+1})^* : H^*(K_j, L_j; R) \rightarrow H^*(K_{j+1}, L_{j+1}; R)$$

coincides with

$$(\psi_j^{j+1})^* : H^*(K_j, L_j; R) \rightarrow H^*(K_{j+1}, L_{j+1}; R)$$

because the maps

$$p_j^{j+1}, \psi_j^{j+1} : (K_{j+1}, L_{j+1}) \rightarrow (K_j, L_j)$$

are homotopic. Since the homology of a direct limit of chain complexes equals the direct limit of homologies, the limit group $H_c^i(X \setminus A; R)$ of the direct system

$$\{H^i(K_j, L_j; R), (p_j^{j+1})^*\}$$

of the homology groups of the cochain complexes $C_j^i(K_j, L_j)$ is the homology of the cochain complex $\lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^i(K_j, L_j)$. For very element $\phi \in \lim_{\rightarrow} C_j^i(K_j, L_j)$ we take a minimal $k = k_\phi$ such that ϕ comes from some $\phi_k \in C_k^i(K_k, L_k)$ and fix such ϕ_k . Note that if p_j^{j+1} are surjections, then ϕ_k is unique. We define $\text{supp}_X \phi = \psi_k^{-1}(\text{supp}(\phi_k))$.

Let $\dim K_0 = m$. Then the Lemma is trivial for $i > m$ (for our special setting). So, if $m \leq n$, Lemma holds true. Let $m > n$. By Proposition 2 the group $H_c^i(X; R)$ is uniformly trivial for $n < i \leq m$. Let $\nu : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ be a corresponding function from for all i between n and m . Let $\rho(t) = \nu(2t)$. We use notation $\rho^k = \rho \circ \dots \circ \rho$ for the k th iteration. Let $p_j^* : C_j^* \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^*$ denote the natural homomorphism. Starting from $i = m$ by induction for $i > n$ we construct a sequence of homomorphisms

$$A_i : C_0^i \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^{i-1}$$

such that

- (1) Being restricted to the subgroup of cocycles $Z_0^i \subset C_0^i$, the homomorphism A_i is a lift of $p_0^*|_{Z_0^i} : Z_0^i \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^i$ with respect to $\delta : \lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^{i-1} \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} C_k^i$;
- (2) $\text{supp}_X A_i(\phi_\sigma) \subset \dot{B}_{\rho^{m-i+1}(d)}(x)$ where $\psi_0(x) \in \sigma$.

Construction of A_m : For every m -simplex $\sigma \subset K_0$ we consider the inverse sequence

$$K_0 \setminus \dot{\sigma} \xleftarrow{\psi_0^1} (\psi_0^1)^{-1}(K_0 \setminus \dot{\sigma}) \xleftarrow{\psi_1^2} (\psi_1^2)^{-1}(K_0 \setminus \dot{\sigma}) \leftarrow \dots$$

of simplicial complexes with the limit space $Y \subset X$. Note that the set $X \setminus Y$ is contained in an open d -ball $\dot{B}_d(x)$ with $\psi_0(x) \in \sigma$. Since $H_c^m(X; R)$ is uniformly trivial with the function ν , the inclusion $\dot{B}_d(x) \subset \dot{B}_{\nu(d)}(x)$ induces zero homomorphism. Thus, the cohomology class $[\phi_\sigma] \in H^m(K_0, K_0 \setminus \dot{\sigma}; R)$ defines the class $(\psi_0^{-1})^*[\phi_\sigma] \in H_c^i(X \setminus Y; R)$ which goes to zero under this inclusion $X \setminus Y \subset \dot{B}_{\nu(d)}(x)$. Taking into account the direct limit description of the cohomologies we can say that there is j such that $(\psi_0^j)^*[\phi_\sigma]$ goes to zero in $H^i(K_j, K_j \setminus \text{st}(\psi_j(B_{\nu(d)}(x))); R)$. We can rephrase this on the cochain level as follows: There is a cochain $a \in C_j^{m-1}$ supported in $\psi_j(B_{\nu(d)}(x))$ such that $\delta a = (p_0^j)^*(\phi_\sigma)$. We define $A_m(\phi_\sigma) = p_j^*(a)$. Since A_m is defined on the basis and C_0^m is a free R -module, it is defined everywhere. Clearly, the conditions (1)-(2) hold.

Assume that A_{i+1} is constructed. Let σ be an i -dimensional simplex. We define A_i on a basic cochain ϕ_σ as follows. Note that $b_\sigma = p_1^*(\phi_\sigma) - A_{i+1}\delta\phi_\sigma$ is a cocycle in $\lim_{\rightarrow} C_j^i$ and hence it defines a cocycle in C_k^i for some k . For this cocycle we use the same notation b_σ . By the condition (2), $\text{supp}_X(b_\sigma) \subset \dot{B}_{2\rho^{m-i}(d)}(x)$ where $\psi_0(x) \in \sigma$. Hence b_σ defines a cohomology class in $H_c^i(\dot{B}_{2\rho^{m-i}(d)}(x); R)$. As above using uniform triviality of the cohomology group $H_c^i(X; R)$ we obtain that for some $j > k$ we have $\delta a = (p_k^j)^*(b_\sigma)$ for some cochain $a \in C_j^{i-1}$ with the support in $\psi_j(B_{\nu(2\rho^{m-i}(d))}(x))$. We define $A_i(\phi_\sigma) = p_j^*(a)$. It's easy to verify the conditions (1)-(2).

Let $r = 2\rho^{m-n}(d)$. If $L \subset K_0$ is a subcomplex and $\alpha \in C_0^i$, $i > n$, is a cocycle that represents a class in $H^i(K_0, L; R)$, then $A_i(\alpha)$ comes from a cochain $\beta \in C_j^{i-1}$ for some j that cobounds $(p_0^j)^*(\alpha)$. By the condition (2) $\phi_j^{-1}(\text{supp } A_i(\alpha)) \subset N_r(\psi_0^{-1}(\text{supp }(\alpha)))$ $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. The inequality $\dim_R Z \geq \gcd_R(Z)$ is obvious.

We prove the reverse inequality. Let $\dim_R Z = n$ and assume that $\gcd_R \Gamma < n$. Apply Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 to obtain $z \in Z$, an open neighborhood V in Z and an open set $\bar{U} \subset \bar{X}$ with $\bar{U} \cap Z = V$ such that the homomorphism $j : H_c^n(V; R) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U; R)$ is a nontrivial monomorphism. We may assume that V is as in the definition of a dimensionally essential point, i.e., for every smaller neighborhood V' , $z \in V' \subset V$, the inclusion homomorphism $H_c^n(V'; R) \rightarrow H_c^n(V; R)$ is non zero. Let r be as in Lemma 3. We take a neighborhood W of z in $\bar{X}$ such that $Cl_{\bar{X}} W \subset \bar{U}$ and $N_{2r}(X \setminus U) \cap W = \emptyset$. Then by Axiom 4 the r -neighborhood $N_r(W \setminus Z)$ is contained in U . We apply Lemma 2 to obtain an open neighborhood of z , $\bar{U}_0 \subset W$, such that

$$j' : H_c^n(V_0; R) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U_0; R)$$

is a nonzero monomorphism where $V_0 = \bar{U}_0 \cap Z$ and $U_0 = \bar{U}_0 \setminus Z$. There is an element α which goes to a non zero element under the inclusion homomorphism $H_c^n(V_0; R) \rightarrow H_c^n(V; R)$. Since $N_{r_0}(W \setminus Z)$ is contained in U , by Lemma 3 the inclusion homomorphism $H_c^{n+1}(U_0; R) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U; R)$ is trivial. The commutative diagram with injective vertical arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^n(V_0; R) & \longrightarrow & H_c^n(V; R) \\ j' \downarrow & & j \downarrow \\ H_c^{n+1}(U_0; R) & \longrightarrow & H_c^{n+1}(U; R) \end{array}$$

gives us a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2. *The cohomological dimension of the boundary $\dim_R \partial\Gamma$ for groups with $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure is a quasi-isometry invariant.*

Proof. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two groups with $\mathcal{Z}$ -structures $(\bar{X}_1, Z_1)$ and $(\bar{X}_2, Z_2)$ respectively. By Theorem 1 and the cohomology exact sequence of pairs $(\bar{X}_i, Z_i)$ it suffices to show that $H_c^*(X_1; R) = H_c^*(X_2; R)$. Since the spaces X_i , $i = 1, 2$, are uniformly contractible, by a theorem of Roe $H_c^*(X_i; R) = HX^*(X_i; R)$ [Roe], [HR] where $HX^*(X; R)$ denotes the coarse cohomology groups of X . The chain of coarse equivalences

$$X_1 \sim \Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_2 \sim X_2$$

completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Be] M. Bestvina, *Local homology properties of boundaries of groups*, Michigan Math. J. **43** (1996), 123-139.
- [BM] M. Bestvina and G. Mess, *The boundary of negatively curved groups*, Journal of AMS **4 No 3** (1991), 469-481.
- [Dr] A.Dranishnikov, *Cohomological dimension of compact metric spaces* **6 issue 1** (2001), Topology Atlas Invited Contributions, <http://at.yorku.ca/topology/taic.htm>, p.7-73.
- [DF] A. Dranishnikov and S. Ferry, *On the Higson-Roe corona*, Russian Math. Surveys **52:5** (1997), 1017-1028.
- [GO] R. Geoghegan and P. Ontaneda, *Compact proper $CAT(0)$ spaces*, arXiv:math.MG/0407506v1 (2004).
- [Roe] J. Roe, *Coarse cohomology and index theory for complete Riemannian manifolds*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. No. 497, 1993.
- [RH] J. Roe and N. Higson, *On the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **227 2** (1995), 227-254.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 118105, 358 LITTLE HALL,
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA

E-mail address: `dranish@math.ufl.edu`